

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/064,057**

Applicant(s)  
**Gerard et al.**

Examiner  
**Nashaat T. Nashed**

Art Unit  
**1652**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nashaat T. Nashed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Helene Carlson

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview May 23, 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Claims of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

Soltis et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The rejection of record under 35 U. S. C. 103 have been discussed and explained in details, in particular, the motivation of one of ordinary skill in the art to make the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

N. T. Nashed  
Examiner's signature, if required